



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED IN		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/746,505	12/22/2000	Yuergen Boehmke	00255	9772	
	590 10/04/2004	EXAMINER			
Roberto Capri		TAYLOR, I	TAYLOR, BARRY W		
Kirkpatrick & L Henry W. Olive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
535 Smithfield		2643	2643		
Pittsburgh, PA	15222-2312	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/746,5	505	BOEHMKE ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Barry W		2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common to period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. b) days, a reply within the sta ututory period will apply and v will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tim stutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>23 <i>July 2004</i></u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This action is	non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1, 3-12, 14-16, 18-19, 21-30, 32-35, 39-42, 44-46 and 48-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-16,18,19,21-30,32-35,39-42,44-46 and 48-50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicat	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the		. 🗂					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				4 404/4)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or France)/Mail Date	FO-948) PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/746,505

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

See Double Patenting rejection listed below.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-12, 14-16, 18-19, 21-30, 32-35, 39-42, 44-46 and 48-50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,788,933 B2 Boehmke et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the following reasons.

Application/Control Number: 09/746,505

Art Unit: 2643

Claims 1, 3-12, 14-16, 18-19, 21-30, 32-35, 39-42, 44-46 and 48-50 of this pending application and claims 1-11, 13-17, 19-28, 30-33, 36-45 of U.S. Patent No. 6,788,933 B2 Boehmke et al are similar in scope with some obvious wording variations. For example, a telecommunication switch is met by a telecommunication digital cellular switch. Claims 3-12 are exactly the same as claims 2-11; claims 14-15 are exactly the same as claims 13-14, etc.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barry W. Taylor whose telephone number is (703) 305-4811. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Technology Center 2600 customer service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600